

Game Theory

P. v. Mouche

Exercise set 2

Exercise 1 Determine which of the following bimatrix games are a prisoner's dilemma.

a. $\begin{pmatrix} 3; -1 & 3; 1 & 6; 0 \\ 1; 0 & 3; 1 & 6; 0 \\ 2; 2 & 4; 1 & 8; 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

b. $\begin{pmatrix} 1; 0 & 3; 1 & 6; 0 \\ 2; 1 & 4; 1 & 8; 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

c. $\begin{pmatrix} 6; 1 & 3; 1 & 1; 5 \\ 2; 4 & 4; 2 & 2; 3 \\ 5; 1 & 6; 1 & 5; 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

d. $\begin{pmatrix} -1; -1 & 2; 0 \\ 0; 2 & 3; 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

e. $\begin{pmatrix} 2; 2 & -1; 3 \\ 3; -1 & 0; 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Exercise 2 The following true/false statements concern an arbitrary bimatrix game.

- a. This concerns a game with two players.
- b. The game has at least one Nash equilibrium.
- c. The game has a strictly dominant strategy.
- d. The game has a fully cooperative strategy profile.
- e. Each fully cooperative strategy profile is weakly Pareto efficient.
- f. The game has a weakly Pareto efficient strategy profile.
- g. A strictly dominant strategy is fully cooperative.
- h. If the game is a prisoners' dilemma, then it has a Nash equilibrium.
- i. It is impossible that a weakly Pareto inefficient strategy profile is a Nash equilibrium.

Exercise 3 The following true/false statements deal with the bimatrix game

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3; 6 & 6; 5 & 7; -3 \\ -6; 2 & 5; 3 & 5; 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- a. The row-player has 2 strategies.

- b. There are 6 strategy profiles.
- c. The strategy profile $(1, 1)$ is a Nash equilibrium.
- d. The row-player has a strictly dominant strategy.
- e. There is a weakly Pareto inefficient Nash equilibrium.
- f. The column-player has a strictly dominant strategy.
- g. This game is a prisoners' dilemma.
- h. Playing row 1 and column 3 is a fully cooperative strategy profile
- i. This game is a zero-sum game.
- j. $(1, 2)$ is a weakly Pareto efficient strategy profile.

Exercise 4 A new notion: a strict Nash equilibrium is a Nash equilibrium with the property that if a player deviates from his strategy in this Nash equilibrium, his payoff will become less.

Given the following bimatrix game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3; 8 & -4; 8 & 2; 3 \\ 1; 7 & 2; 6 & 8; 1 \\ 3; 3 & 4; 4 & 2; 2 \\ 1; 1 & 1; -1 & 1; -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- a. Determine the best reply correspondences.
- b. Determine the strictly dominant strategies.
- c. Determine the Nash equilibria.
- d. Determine the strict Nash equilibria.
- e. Determine the weakly Pareto-efficient strategy profiles.

Exercise 5 Consider the Hotelling Game with sites $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

- a. Why does $f_1(4 - x_1, 4 - x_2) = f_1(x_1, x_2)$ hold?
- b. Show that for the payoff function f_1 of player 1 the following formula holds:

$$f_1(x_1, x_2) \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 + x_2 + 1}{2} & \text{if } x_1 < x_2, \\ \frac{5}{2} & \text{if } x_1 = x_2, \\ 5 - \frac{x_1 + x_2 + 1}{2} & \text{if } x_1 > x_2 \end{cases}$$

- c. Show that $(2, 2)$ is a Nash equilibrium.

Short solutions.

Solution 1 Only the game in e.

Solution 2 aT bF cF dT eT fT gF hT iF.

Solution 3 aT bT cT dT eF fF gF hF iF jT.

Solution 4 a. $R_1(1) = \{1, 3\}$, $R_1(2) = \{3\}$, $R_1(3) = \{2\}$, $R_2(1) = \{1, 2\}$, $R_2(2) = \{1\}$, $R_2(3) = \{2\}$, $R_2(4) = \{1\}$.

a. Strictly dominant strategies: do not exist.

b. They are (1, 1) (i.e. row 1 and column 1) and (3, 2).

c. (3, 2).

e. (1, 1), (1, 2)(2, 3), (3, 2).

Solution 5 a. Because there is a “location symmetry”.

b. Just calculate by hand the bimatrix and verify this formula.

c. Analyse the bimatrix in part b.