

WASS Course Game Theory

P. v. Mouche

December 2011

Exercise 1 Given the following bi-matrix-game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3; 8 & 4; 8 & 2; 3 \\ 1; 7 & 2; 6 & 8; 1 \\ 3; 4 & 4; 4 & 2; 2 \\ 1; 1 & 1; -1 & 1; -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Determine the nash equilibria.

Exercise 2 Determine the equilibria for the homogeneous cournot oligopoly given by $c^1(q^1) = 20q^1$, $c^2(q^2) = 20q^2$, $p(Q) = \max(200 - \frac{1}{4}Q, 0)$.

Exercise 3 Consider the following game between $n \geq 3$ players. Each player i writes on a paper one of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$, say x^i . Then a referee collects the papers and calculates for each player i the score by the formula

$$-|x^i - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{i\}} x^l}{n-1}|$$

(so minus the absolute value of the difference of his number minus half of the average of the numbers of the other players). Interpret this game in a natural way as a game in strategic form.

- Show that this game is symmetric.
- Is this game aggregative?
- Are there dominant strategies?
- For which $b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the multi-strategy (b, b, \dots, b) a nash equilibrium?
- Optional exercise: determine all nash equilibria.

Exercise 4 Consider the game in strategic form with two players given by

$$X^i = \mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad f^i(x^1, x^2) = (100 - x^1 - x^2 - c)x^i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$

- a. Prove that this game is a symmetric aggregative game.
- b. What do You find of the following way of Pietje Puk for determining the symmetric nash equilibria?

Suppose \mathbf{n} is a symmetric nash equilibrium. Then $n^1 = n^2 =: n$. Maximising $f^1(n, n) = (100 - 2n - c)n$ gives $n = (100 - c)/4$. So $((100 - c)/4, (100 - c)/4)$ is a unique symmetric equilibrium..

Short solutions.

Solution 1 They are (1, 1) (i.e. row 1 and column 1), (1, 2), (3, 1), (3, 2).

Solution 2 (240, 240).

Solution 3 a. $f^{\pi(j)}(x^1, \dots, x^n) = -|x^{\pi(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{\pi(j)\}} x^l}{n-1}| = -|x^{\pi(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{j\}} x^{\pi(l)}}{n-1}| = f^j(x^{\pi(1)}, \dots, x^{\pi(n)})$.

b. Yes: $f^j(x^1, \dots, x^n) = -|x^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{j\}} x^l}{n-1}| = -|x^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{-x^j + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}} x^l}{n-1}| = -|\frac{3}{2}x^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}} x^l}{n-1}| = -|\frac{3}{2}x^j - \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}} x^l}{n}|$.

c. No. This we can prove by looking for example to the best reply of i against (b, \dots, b) . Well, $f^i(x^i; b, \dots, b) = -|x^i - \frac{1}{2}b|$. Therefore $R^i(b, \dots, b) = \{\frac{b}{2}\}$ if b is even, $R^i(b, \dots, b) = \{\frac{b}{2} - 1, \frac{b}{2} + 1\}$ if b is odd and not equal to 1, and $R^i(b, \dots, b) = \{b\}$ if $b = 1$.

d. For $b = 1$: the answer to c implies that $R^i(1, \dots, 1) = 1$ ($i \in N$) and if $a \neq 1$ that $R^i(a, \dots, a) \neq a$ ($i \in N$).

e. It can be proved that $E = \{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$.

Solution 4 a. $f^2(x^1, x^2) = f^1(x^2, x^1)$ ($x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$).

b. This is not correct. In fact $((100 - c)/3, (100 - c)/3)$ is the unique nash equilibrium.