

# Non-differentiability of Payoff Functions and Non-uniqueness of Nash Equilibria CORRECTIONS AND SUPPLEMENTS

P. v. Mouche

June 18, 2010

## Corrections:

1. Page 732, column 1, line 23 ↓:  $\dots = \mathcal{T}_-^i(x^i, \varphi^i(\mathbf{x}))$ .
2. Page 732, footnote 4:  $\dots I_- = ] - 3, 1[$  and ldots
3. Page 733, column 1, Property  $A^i \geq$ : For all  $y^i \in \varphi^i(E)$  and  $b^i \in E^i \cap X_+^i$ ,  $a^i \in E^i \cap X_-^i$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_-^i(b^i, y^i) \geq \mathcal{T}_+^i(a^i, y^i) \Rightarrow b^i \leq a^i.$$

Here  $E^i = \{n^i \mid \mathbf{n} \in E\}$ .

4. Page 733, column 2, line 21 ↑:  $\mathcal{T}_-^i(b^i, \varphi^i(\mathbf{b})) \leq \mathcal{T}_-^i(b^i, \varphi^i(\mathbf{a}))$ .
5. Page 733, column 2: delete In Proposition 2 the third proof. (There is no 3).
6. Page 733, column 2, line 3 ↑: is increasing,  $\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \geq \Phi(\mathbf{b})$  holds. ...
7. Page 734, column 1, line 18 ↓:  $\dots \Phi : E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \dots$
8. Page 734, column 1, line 20 ↓:  $\Psi(\Gamma) = (\Psi^1(\Gamma), \dots, \Psi^N(\Gamma))$
9. Page 734, column 1, line 21 ↓:  $\dots$  value of  $\Phi \upharpoonright E(\Gamma)$ . Note ...
10. Page 734, column 1, lines 24/25 ↓: denoted by  $\Psi(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Below it will become ...
11. Page 734, column 1, Lemma 2: replace this lemma by the following  
*Lemma 2:* Consider  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1^*$  and let  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ . Suppose  $\varphi^i$  is strictly increasing in  $x^i$  and  $Y^i$  is an interval. Then:  $\#\{n^i \mid \mathbf{n} \in E\} \geq 2 \Rightarrow \Psi^i(\Gamma) \in \text{Int}(Y^i)$ .  $\diamond$
12. Page 734, column 1, line 13 ↑:  $\Psi^i \in \text{Int}(Y^i)$ . Q.E.D.
13. Page 734, column 2, line 1 ↓: Because  $\mathcal{T}_-^i$  is strictly increasing in its ...
14. Page 734, column 2, line 10 ↓: ldots is strictly decreasing in it ...
15. Page 734, column 2, line 15 ↑:  $\dots$  is that Property  $B_{1+}^i \wedge B_{1-}^i$  holds.  $\diamond$
16. Page 734, column 2, line 9 ↑:  $\dots \mathcal{G}_1^*$  where all functions  $\mathcal{T}_+^i, \mathcal{T}_-^i$  are
17. Page 734, column 2, line 1 ↑:  $\dots$  then  $E$  is convex.
18. Page 735, column 1, line 7 ↓: So by Lemma 1(2),  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ .
19. Page 735, column 1, line 9 ↓:  $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi^{<-1>}(\Psi)$ . By ...

20. Page 735, column 1, line 11 ↓:  $x^i \in r(X^i) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_-^i(x^i, \Psi^i) \geq 0$ ,
21. Page 735, column 1, line 12 ↓:  $x^i \in l(X^i) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_+^i(x^i, \Psi^i) \leq 0$ .
22. Page 735, column 1, line 19 ↓: Theorems 2 – 4 imply:
23. Page 735, column 2, line 19 ↑: 2) If each  $\mathcal{P}^i$  ( $i \in \mathcal{Z}$ ) is differentiable, then in each Nash equilibrium each  $f^i$  ( $i \in \mathcal{Z}$ ) holds

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_

Further reading:

\_\_\_\_\_

If you think that some other things should be added here, please let me know.