

# Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria

## CORRECTIONS, COMMENTS AND FURTHER READING

P. v. Mouche

March 20, 2017

### Corrections:

1. *Page 2, line 6* ↓: ... If each strategy set  $X^i$  is a compact and convex subset of a real Banach space, each payoff function ...
2. *Page 2, line 15* ↓:  $\mathbf{R}_C$  is proper, convex-valued, closed-valued ...
3. *Page 2, line 16* ↓: applying the fixed point theorem of Bohnenblust and Karlin.
4. *Page 2, line 21* ↓: ... with respect to  $x^i$  exists ...
5. *Page 3, condition 2 of Theorem 4*: If  $p$  is differentiable with  $p' < 0$  and each cost function is differentiable and strictly convex, then the game has at most one interior  $\mathcal{C}$ -equilibrium.
6. *Page 3, lines 3–6* ↑: ... is concave. To see it is, we first note we first note that the function  $q \rightsquigarrow p(z+q)q$  (on  $\sum_{l \in S} X^l \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ ) is a decreasing concave function of  $q$  multiplied by  $q$  which is known to be also concave. So the first sum being a composition of the linear function  $\mathbf{a}^{C_i} \mapsto \sum_{l \in C_i} a^l$  with that concave function also is concave.
7. *Page 3, line 1* ↑:  $B_K := \{\mathbf{a}^S \in R^S \mid \sum_{l \in S} a^l = K\}$ . ...
8. *Page 4, line 2* ↓: ... convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^S$  ...
9. *Page 4, line 9* ↓:  $c^{i'}(m^i(K_1)) < c^{i'}(m^i(K_2))$  for all  $i$ .
10. *Page 4, line 17* ↑: ... =  $p'(y_\star)w_\star^S + \dots$
11. *Page 4, line 13* ↑: Because the function  $\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

Comments: Theorem 2(2) even holds without assuming that  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing. Here is the new version:

**Theorem 2** Consider a game in strategic form  $\Gamma$  where each strategy set  $X^i$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing more than one point. Fix a coalition structure  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose for each  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in S$  that the partial derivative of the function  $F^S$  with respect to  $x^i$  exists as an element of  $\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ . Furthermore, suppose there exists an increasing function  $\varphi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and with  $Y := \varphi(\mathbf{X})$ , for each  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in S$  a function  $\mathcal{T}_S^i : X^i \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is strictly decreasing in its first and decreasing in its second variable such that for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$

$$\frac{\partial F^S}{\partial x^i}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{T}_S^i(x^i, \varphi(\mathbf{x}))$$

holds. Then, there exists at most one  $\mathcal{C}$ -equilibrium.  $\diamond$

Proof.— Let  $\mathbf{x}_*$  and  $\mathbf{x}_\bullet$  be  $\mathcal{C}$ -equilibria. We may suppose that  $y_* := \varphi(\mathbf{x}_*) \geq \varphi(\mathbf{x}_\bullet) =: y_\bullet$ .

First, we prove that for all  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in S$  the inequality  $x_*^i \leq x_\bullet^i$  holds. If  $x_*^i = \inf X^i$  or  $x_\bullet^i = \sup X^i$ , then this result holds. Otherwise,  $x_*^i$  is not a left boundary point of  $X^i$  and  $x_\bullet^i$  is not a right boundary point of  $X^i$ . Because  $\mathbf{x}_*$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -equilibrium,  $\mathbf{x}_*^S$  is a maximizer of the function  $F_{\mathbf{x}_*^S}^S$ . This implies that  $x_*^i$  is a maximizer of the function  $x^i \mapsto F^S(x^i; \mathbf{x}_*^i)$  and therefore it follows that  $0 \leq \frac{\partial F^S}{\partial x^i}(\mathbf{x}_*) = \mathcal{T}_S^i(x_*^i, y_*)$ . By the same token,  $0 \geq \frac{\partial F^S}{\partial x^i}(\mathbf{x}_\bullet) = \mathcal{T}_S^i(x_\bullet^i, y_\bullet)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_S^i(x_*^i, y_*) \geq \mathcal{T}_S^i(x_\bullet^i, y_\bullet)$ . Because  $y_\bullet \leq y_*$ , we have  $\mathcal{T}_S^i(x_*^i, y_\bullet) \geq \mathcal{T}_S^i(x_*^i, y_*)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{T}_S^i(x_*^i, y_\bullet) \geq \mathcal{T}_S^i(x_\bullet^i, y_\bullet)$ . Because  $\mathcal{T}_S^i$  is strictly decreasing in  $x^i$  we have  $x_*^i \leq x_\bullet^i$ . Now we even may conclude that  $\mathbf{x}_* \leq \mathbf{x}_\bullet$  and thus  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_*) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{x}_\bullet)$ . Now, as above, for all  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i \in S$  the inequality  $x_\bullet^i \leq x_*^i$  holds. Thus  $\mathbf{x}_\bullet = \mathbf{x}_*$ .  $\square$

Further reading:

M. Finus, P. v. Mouche and B. Rundshagen. On Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria. In: Contributions to Game Theory and Management. Volume VII, 51-60, 2014. Editors: L. Petrosjan, N. Zenkevich. St. Petersburg State University. ISSN 2310-2608.

If you think that some other things should be added here, then please let me know.